

Assessment Year 1990-91

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

I.T.A. No. 46 of 2001

OLD NO. 56 OF 2000

The Commissioner of Income Tax,
Meerut & another

.....

Appellants

Versus

O.N.G.C., Dehradun
As agent of
M/s Continental Lab., Canada.

.....

Respondent

Mr. S.K. Posti, learned Advocate for Income Tax Department

Hon. P.C. Verma, A.C.J.

Hon. P.C. Pant, J.

1. This is an appeal under Section 260A of the Income Tax, 1961 filed by the Revenue against the judgment and order dated 12.8.1999 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi in I.T.A. No. 7790/D/92.

2. M/s Continental Lab, Canada, respondent is a non-resident foreign company. The assessment was made on ONGC as agent of the respondent. The ONGC had entered into a tax protected contract with the respondent.

3. The question raised before us is as follows:

"Whether in the facts and circumstances of the case the learned Income Tax Appellate Tribunal was legally correct in holding that the income determined on notional basis in accordance with section 44BB of I.T. Act, 1961, was not liable to be grossed up u/s 195-A of the I.T. Act?"

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. As this Court has discussed in Income Tax Appeal NO. 470 of 2001; The Commissioner of Income Tax, Meerut & another Vs O.N.G.C. Dehradun dated 30th September, 2003, the reasoning regarding this question is given in following paragraphs:

6. The main point, which is required to be decided is whether, the concept of Multiple Stage Grossing up of income is applicable to the deemed profits derived by NRC u/s 44BB of the Act. Whether, that concept can be applied by the Department to compute income falling u/s 44BB of the Income Tax Act? It was argued on behalf of the Department, that in case of Tax Protected Contracts, Sec. 195A was attracted and therefore the Department was entitled to compute the deemed profits derived by the NRC by applying the method of Multiple Stage Grossing up of income. We do not find any merit in Multiple Stage Grossing up of income. We do not find any merit in this argument of the Department. Firstly, Sec. 44BB is a complete code by itself. It is a charging section as far as the income of the NRC is concerned from oil exploration. It deals with computation of deemed profits. Secondly, Sec. 195A comes under Chapter XVII of the Income Tax Act which deals with collection and recovery of tax whereas Sec. 44BB comes under chapter IV which deals with computation of business income. Therefore, Sec. 195A will not assist the Department in applying the concept of Multiple Stage Grossing up of income to the profits derived by the NRC from oil exploration falling under Sec. 44BB of the Act. Sec. 44BB contemplates computation of deemed profits at 10% of the aggregate receipts received by the NRC from oil exploration. That Sec. 44BB(2) refers to items covered by Gross Receipts. In this case the assessee has computed its income by taking into account the benefit which it has received on account of ONGC paying tax on its behalf. For example, if the assessee has paid tax on Rs. 200/- which is treated as its deemed profits, the Department has calculated that benefit at Rs.250/- by resorting to Multi Stage Grossing up of Income. This is not the case where the assessee is not paying tax on the benefit of Rs. 200/-. The dispute is only regarding the value of that benefit. According to the assessee the value of that benefit is Rs.200/-, whether one takes the contract to be a Protected contract or whether one takes that contract to be not protected. In both the cases the value of the benefit will remain constant. Therefore, there is no merit in the case of the Department. Lastly, we may point out that Sec. 195A of the Income

Tax Act has no application. It is not a charging section. It provides for recovery of tax. It provides for tax deduction at source. The fact that TDS is deductible u/s 195A from the sum payable does not mean that the contractor is assessable for that receipt. In certain cases, the contractor may not be chargeable to tax in respect of those receipts. For example, in case where the contractor has carried forward lossess or in cases where the contractor suffers losses from other contracts which are liable to be set off. Therefore, the mechanism for recovery of tax mentioned u/s 195A will not make the receipt chargeable to tax. Further, Sec. 195A is confined to incomes falling under Chapter XVII. That Sec. 195A is introduced only for the purposes of TDS. Therefore, that section cannot be applied in support of Multiple Stage Grossing up of income in respect of computation of deemed profits u/s 44BB of the Income Tax Act. In order to illustrate the above examples of the assessee deriving benefit of Rs.200/- computed as per single stage grossing up of income vis-a-vis Multiple Stage Grossing up of income we quote hereinbelow a Statement which illustrates the meaning of "Grossing up of Income", both Multi Stage and Single Stage.

7. Example of Grossing Up where the tax on the personal income of the non-resident technician is to be borne by ONGC

Say the amount payable to the non-resident technician is Rs. 1000 and for the sake of simplicity, the tax rate is 20%

	Stand of ONGC	I.T. Department's Stand
Income	1000.00	1000.00
Tax @ 20% on Rs. 1000	<u>200.00</u>	<u>200.00</u>
	1200.00	1200.00
Tax @ 20% on Rs. 200		<u>40.00</u>
		1240.00
Tax @ 20% pm Rs. 40		<u>8.00</u>
		1248.00
Tax @ 20% on Rs. 8		<u>1.60</u>
		1249.60
Tax @ 20% on Rs. 1.6		<u>0.32</u>
		1249.92
Tax @ 20% on Rs. 0.32		<u>0.08</u>
Taxable Income	1200.00	1250.00
Tax @ 20%	240.00	250.00

8. For the reasons aforesaid, we answer this question in the affirmative i.e. in favour of the assessee and against the Department.

9. Appeal is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

(P.C. Pant, J.) (P.C. Verma, A.C.J.)

Dt. 01.07.2004.

G